

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/810,017	03/26/2004		Yoshiki Kashimura	A01504	7725
21898	7590	09/28/2004		EXAMINER	
		AS COMPANY	QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADEI	LPHIA, P	A 19106-2399		1616	
				DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/810,017	KASHIMURA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sabiha Qazi	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, it less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	э					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1616

First Office Action on Merits

Claims 1-5 are pending. No claim is allowed.

The presently claimed invention is drawn to a method of suppressing the deterioration of the quality of an agricultural product comprising a step of contact-treating the agricultural product under reduced pressure conditions with a cyclopropene compound.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

What is intended by the phrase "reduced pressure" in claim 1? The Specification cites preferred pressures; these preferred pressures should be in claim 1 as well. A clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SISLER (US Patent No. 6194350) and SISLER et al. (US Patent No. 5518988)

Application/Control Number: 10/810,017

Art Unit: 1616

,

SISLER and SISLER et al. teach methods of applying C₁₋₄ and C₆₋₂₀ alkyl cyclopropene derivatives and compositions thereof to block ethylene receptors in plants. It teaches a method of inhibiting an ethylene response in a plant, wherein said ethylene response is flower senescence. "Senescence" is defined¹ as "the state of being old," or "the process of becoming old". One skilled in the art can safely assume that plants deteriorate as they become old; with the knowledge that the prior art teaches a method for suppressing senescence and the assumption that plants deteriorate during senescence, one skilled in the art would come to the conclusion that the prior art teaches a method for suppressing senescence. For the SISLER document: See the entire document, especially claims 1, 9, 14, Table 1, lines 18-39 in col. 5, and lines 8-20 in col. 6. For the SISLER et al. document: See the entire document, especially lines 1-30 in col. 2, examples, and claims.

Instant invention is different from the prior art in claiming a broader, more generic scope.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to prepare a method of suppressing the deterioration of the quality of an agricultural product comprising a step of contact-treating the agricultural product under reduced pressure conditions with a cyclopropene compound because the prior art teaches methods of applying C_{1-4} and C_{6-20} alkyl cyclopropene derivatives and compositions thereof to suppress the deterioration of a flower, which embraces the presently claimed invention. It would have been obvious to apply C_5 alkyl cyclopropene derivatives and compositions thereof because C_{1-4} and C_{6-20} have already been taught by the prior art.

R can be various substituents in the claims. The data gives limited teachings for R as

¹ By Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, available online at http://www.m-w.com/

Page 5

claimed. A single species is seldom, if ever, sufficient to support a generic claim. In re Shokal, 242 F.2d 771, 113 U.S.P.Q. 283, 285 (C.C.P.A. 1957). See also, In re Grimme, 274 F.2d 949,

124 U.S.P.Q. 499, 501 (C.C.P.A. 1960) (the naming of a member of a genus or subgenus is not a

proper basis for claiming the whole group).

Objective evidence of nonobviousness must be commensurate in scope with the scope of the claims. In re Tiffin, 171 USPQ 294. A showing limited to a single species can hardly be considered probative of the invention's nonobviousness in view of the breadth of the claims.

In the light of the forgoing discussion, the Examiner's ultimate legal conclusion is that the subject matter defined by the instant claims would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5 Oog